This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



# Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713617200

# Functionalized *C*-Glycosyl Compounds. III. Reaction of Tertiary Nucleophiles with Unsaturated Carbohydrates. Mechanism of the Anomerization

Brahim Chaguir<sup>a</sup>; Mohamed Brakta<sup>a</sup>; Véronique Bolitt<sup>a</sup>; Paul Lhoste<sup>a</sup>; Denis Sinou<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup> Laboratoire de Synthèse Asymétrique, associé au CNRS, ESCIL, Université Claude Bernard, Villeurbanne, Cédex, France

To cite this Article Chaguir, Brahim , Brakta, Mohamed , Bolitt, Véronique , Lhoste, Paul and Sinou, Denis(1992) 'Functionalized C-Glycosyl Compounds. III. Reaction of Tertiary Nucleophiles with Unsaturated Carbohydrates. Mechanism of the Anomerization', Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry, 11: 5, 609 – 625

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/07328309208016152 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07328309208016152

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

# FUNCTIONALIZED C-GLYCOSYL COMPOUNDS. III. REACTION OF TERTIARY NUCLEOPHILES WITH UNSATURATED CARBOHYDRATES. MECHANISM OF THE ANOMERIZATION.

Brahim Chaguir, Mohamed Brakta, Véronique Bolitt, Paul Lhoste, and Denis Sinou\*

Laboratoire de Synthèse Asymétrique, associé au CNRS, ESCIL, Université Claude Bernard, 43 bd du 11 Novembre 1918 - 69622 Villeurbanne Cédex, France.

Received October 31, 1991 - Final Form April 15, 1992

## ABSTRACT

Phenyl 2,3-dideoxy-4,6-di-O-benzyl-D-erythro-hex-2-enopyranoside  $1\alpha$  (or  $1\beta$ ) is alkylated regio- and stereospecifically at the anomeric center by stabilized tertiary nucleophiles in the presence of Pd(0) as a catalyst, leading to the C-glycoside of  $\alpha$ - (or  $\beta$ -) configuration. The observed loss of stereoselectivity using secondary stabilized nucleophiles is mainly due to a retro Michael reaction. The assignment of the  $\alpha$ - (or  $\beta$ -) configuration at the anomeric center was accomplished using <sup>13</sup>C NMR and NOE experiments.

# INTRODUCTION

C-glycosides are important chiral building blocks in the synthesis of natural compounds  $^{2-7}$  and during the last few years several methods have been developed for their preparation.<sup>8</sup> The high stereochemical control offered by transition-metal-mediated transformations prompted several groups to investigate these methods for C-glycosyla-

<sup>\*</sup> For part II, see ref. 1.

Copyright © 1992 by Marcel Dekker, Inc.

#### Scheme 1

R <sub>3</sub> O		R <sub>3</sub> O-T	
۔ ا	$-0^{R_2}$	Pd(0)	
		Nu	
BnO	$R_1$	BnO R <sub>4</sub>	
	1 - 3	4 - 13	
	1α	$R_1 = OPh, R_2 = H, R_3 = Bn$	
	1β	$R_1 = H, R_2 = OPh, R_3 = Bn$	
	2	$R_1 = OPh, R_2 = H, R_3 = t-BuMe_2Si$	
	3	$R_1 = OPh, R_2 = H, R_3 = Ph_3C$	
	4α	$R_4 = CH(CO_2C_2H_5)_2, R_5 = H, R_3 = Bn$	
	4β	$R_4 = H, R_5 = CH(CO_2C_2H_5)_2, R_3 = Bn$	
	5α	$R_4 = CH(COCH_3)_2, R_5 = H, R_3 = Bn$	
	5β	$R_4 = H, R_5 = CH(COCH_3)_2, R_3 = Bn$	
	6α	$R_4 = CH(NO_2)CO_2C_2H_5, R_5 = H, R_3 = Bn$	
	6β	$R_4 = H, R_5 = CH(NO_2)CO_2C_2H_5, R_3 = Bn$	
	7α	$R_4 = C(COCH_3)_2CO_2CH_3, R_5 = H, R_3 = Bn$	
	7β	$R_4 = H, R_5 = C(COCH_3)_2CO_2CH_3, R_3 = Bn$	
	8	$R_4 = C(CO_2C_2H_5)_2CH_3, R_5 = H, R_3 = Bn$	
	9	$R_4 = C(CO_2C_2H_5)_2CH_2CH=CH_2, R_5 = H, R_3 = Bn$	
	10	$R_4 = C(CO_2CH_3)_2CH_2C \equiv CH, R_5 = H, R_3 = Bn$	
	11α	$R_4 = C(NO_2)(CO_2C_2H_5)(CH_2CH=CH_2), R_5 = H, R_3 = D_2$	Bn
	11β	$R_4 = H, R_5 = C(NO_2)(CO_2C_2H_5)(CH_2CH=CH_2), R_3 = I_2$	Bn
	12	$R_4 = CH(NO_2)CO_2C_2H_5$ , $R_5 = H$ , $R_3 = t$ -BuMe <sub>2</sub> Si	
	13	$R_4 = CH(NO_2)CO_2C_2H_5, R_5 = H, R_3 = Ph_3C$	

tion.<sup>7, 9-13</sup> We recently reported the palladium(0) catalyzed introduction, under neutral conditions, of a number of carbon nucleophiles at the anomeric position of 2,3-unsaturated phenyl glycoside **1** in excellent to moderate yields.<sup>14</sup> Alkylation of the  $\beta$ -anomer occurred with complete retention of configuration at the anomeric center, no matter which nucleophile was used. However, the stereospecificity of the reaction in the case of the  $\alpha$ -anomer was dependent on the nucleophile used with  $\beta$ -dicarbonyl nucleophiles giving near 20-25 % of inversion. As part of our continuing interest in *C*-glycosyl compounds and to extend the synthetic utility of this reaction, we now report our results concerning the

# Scheme 2



mechanism leading to the loss of stereospecificity in this reaction and the use of other  $\beta$ dicarbonyl compounds as nucleophiles.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We previously reported that the alkylation of the anomer 1 $\beta$  occurred with complete retention of configuration at the anomeric center independant of the nucleophile employed.<sup>14</sup> In contrast, with the anomer 1 $\alpha$ , the reaction was only stereospecific using enolate type nucleophiles (acetyl acetone, ethyl malonate, methyl acetylacetate), ethyl nitromalonate and even ethyl nitroacetate only giving stereospecifically the *C*-glycoside of  $\alpha$ -configuration.<sup>14</sup> The observed isomerization could be rationalized by a mechanism involving an S<sub>N</sub>2' attack (syn) by the nucleophile on the  $\sigma$ -alkylpalladium complex (Scheme 2),<sup>15</sup> or more simply by a mechanism taking place under basic reaction conditions, i.e. a retro Michaël reaction. Isomerizations of this type with saturated *C*-glycosides have been previously explained in this way.<sup>16,17</sup> To determine what mechanism occurred in our case, we made a more detailed study of this reaction. The results are summarized in **Table 1**.

In alkylation with ethyl malonate or acetyl acetone, the use of an excess of the ligand (dppb or PPh<sub>3</sub>) (entries 1-4) decreases the selectivity in the formation of the  $\alpha$ -isomer, the  $\beta$  *C*-glycoside being only obtained in the presence of a large excess of PPh<sub>3</sub> (entry 4).

In the case of ethyl nitroacetate, an increase of the reaction temperature decreases the selectivity (entries 6-9). Even the phenyl glycoside  $1\beta$  gives a mixture of C-glycosides in a  $\beta/\alpha = 64/36$  ratio.

This isomerization could be well rationalized by a retro Michaël reaction (Scheme 3) better than by a  $S_N2$ ' mechanism. In the presence of a base (PhO<sup>-</sup> liberated in the reaction medium during the catalytic cycle), the  $\alpha$  (or  $\beta$ ) *C*-glycoside A leads to the linear interme-

2011
January
23
10:18
At:
Downloaded

Entry	Glycal	Nucleophile	Ligandb	Solvent /T $^{\circ}$ C /Time (h)	Product (Yield %) <sup>C</sup>	α/βđ
	1α	CH <sub>2</sub> (CO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	qddp	CH3CN/60/6	4 (60)	75/25
7	Ια	CH <sub>2</sub> (CO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	dppb + 3 PPh <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN/60/4	4 (67)	75/25
ŝ	1α	CH <sub>2</sub> (COCH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	dqpb	CH <sub>3</sub> CN/60/6	5 (67)	75/25
4	1α	CH <sub>2</sub> (COCH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	PPh <sub>3</sub> e	CH3CN/60/6	5 (40)	24/76
S	1α	CH <sub>2</sub> (COCH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	dppb + 8 PPh <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN/60/5	5 (40)	0/100
9	Ια	NO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	dpbb	CH3CN/60/2	6 (80)	100/0
٢	1α	NO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	dpbb	CH <sub>3</sub> CN/80/3	6 (80)	79/21
∞	1β	NO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	dpbb	CH3CN/60/2	6 (80)	0/100
6	1β	NO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	dpbb	CH <sub>3</sub> CN/80/3	6 (80)	36/64
10	1α	CH(COCH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	dpbb	THF/60/3	7 (60)	100/0
11	1α	CH(COCH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	dpbb	CH <sub>3</sub> CN/80/4	7 (64)	100/0
12	Ια	CH(COCH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	2PPh <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN/80/3	7 (61)	100/0
13	1β	CH(COCH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	dpbb	CH <sub>3</sub> CN/80/4	7 (70)	0/100
14	1α	NaC(CO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	dqpb	THF/60/12	8 (88)	100/0
15	1α	NaC(CO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> -CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	dpbb	THF/60/12	9 (92)	100/0
16	1α	NaC(CO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> C≡CH	dpbb	THF/60/12	10 (95)	100/0
17	1α	NO2CH(CO2C2H5)CH2CH=CH2	dpbb	THF/60/12	11 (90)	100/0
18	2	NO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	qddp	CH3CN/60/6	12 (87)	100/0
19	6	NO2CH2CO2C2H5	qddp	CH3CN/60/6	13 (87)	100/0

TABLE 1. Alkylation of Glycals 1-3 with Various Nucleophiles.<sup>a</sup>



#### Scheme 3

diate **B** which could cyclise to the  $\beta$  or the  $\alpha$  *C*-glycoside A $\beta$  or A $\alpha$ , the  $\beta$  anomer being the thermodynamically more stable. Higher temperature increases also the ease of abstraction of the acidic hydrogen. This mechanism of isomerization is also consistent with the results observed in the synthesis of compounds 11 $\alpha$  and 11 $\beta$  (Scheme 4). Alkylation of  $6\alpha$  by diallyl carbonate in the presence of Pd(0) as the catalyst leads to the formation of the alkylated compound 11 as a mixture of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  *C*-glycoside in a 60/40 ratio. Reversely alkylation of compound 6 $\beta$  gives only the  $\beta$  *C*-glycoside 11 $\beta$ . In this catalytic alkylation using carbonate as the leaving group, the nucleophile is formed *in situ* by abstraction of the acidic hydrogen from A $\alpha$  or A $\beta$  (Z = NO<sub>2</sub>, Z' = CO<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>) by CH<sub>2</sub>=CH-CH<sub>2</sub>O<sup>-</sup>; the A $\alpha$ anomer isomerizes to a mixture of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ - anomers by the above mechanism, which is not the case for the A $\beta$  anomer. However, pure 11 $\alpha$  could be obtained by the alkylation of the phenylglycoside 1 $\alpha$  by ethyl 2-allyl-2-nitroacetate, a tertiary nucleophile, in the presence of a catalytic amount of palladium (0).

The observation that ethyl nitromalonate<sup>14</sup> and ethyl 2,2-diacetylacetate give no isomerized products in the alkylation of the phenyl glycoside  $1\alpha$  even at 80 °C is also in agreement with this mechanism (entries 10-11).

These observations prompted us to use ethyl alkylmalonates as nucleophiles in this reaction. Howewer, anions of these tertiary nucleophiles are needed for completion of the reaction, and as expected phenyl glycoside  $1\alpha$  gives stereospecifically and very cleanly the  $\alpha$  C-glycosides in good yields with no isomerized product being observed. Compounds 9, 10 and 11 are interesting starting materials for the synthesis of homochiral cyclopentanes, an analog of compound 9 having been used recently in a palladium-mediated cyclisation.<sup>18</sup>

The unsaturated glycosides 2 and 3 were also used in this reaction. They were obtained from phenyl glycopyranoside 14 using conventional procedures (Scheme 5).



Reagents : a: CH<sub>3</sub>ONa/CH<sub>3</sub>OH; b: *t*-BuMe<sub>2</sub>siCl/imidazole, for 15 and 16; c: Ph<sub>3</sub>CCl/C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>5</sub>N for 17; d: BnBr/KOH.

Deacetylation of 14 followed by tritylation and benzylation of the secondary hydroxyl function gave the trityl derivative 3. Deacetylation of compound 14 followed by *t*-butyl-dimethylsilylation gave the monosilylated or the disilylated compounds 15 or 16, using one or two equivalents of *t*-BuMe<sub>2</sub>SiCl. Benzylation of compound 15 led to the formation of the hydroxy compound 18, by the cleavage of the *t*-butyldimethylsilyl group; this compound was very easily transformed into the expected compound 2 by treatment with *t*-BuMe<sub>2</sub>SiCl. The structure of compound 18 was determined by <sup>1</sup>H and mainly <sup>13</sup>C NMR (see Experimental Part). It is known that alkylation of a primary or a secondary alcohol shifts the carbon  $\alpha$  to the oxygen downfield ~ 10 ppm and ~ 7 ppm, respectively, whereas the influence of a *t*-butyldimethylsilyl group is very low.<sup>19</sup> *t*-Butyldimethylsilyl ethers are also known to be cleaved by base.<sup>20</sup>

Compound	(% epimer)	J4 <sup>•,5</sup>	δ C-1'	δ C-5'
4.5		7.0	71.00	71.02
4α		7.0	71.22	/1.93
4β		8.2	73.25	77.75
5α		7.2	71.66	72.15
5β		8.4	73.57	77.28
6α	75 %	7.0	71.13	72.27
	25 %	7.0	70.16	72.84
6β	60 %	9.2	72.96	77.63
	40 %	9.2	72.89	78.05
7α		4.4	69.51	74.17
7β		8.7	74.77	77.74
8		4.3	72.36	73.65
9		4.2	71.22	73.18
10		4.9	70.96	73.65
11α	63 %	5.2	71.26	73.58
	37 %	4.9	71.26	73.25
11β	63 %	8.5	75.77	77.99
	37 %	8.5	74.64	78.38
12	75 %	7.7	70.03	73.48
	25 %	7.7	70.85	74.49
13	75 %	8.2	71.40	72.63
	25 %	8.2	70.32	73.55
				1

TABLE 2. Spectral Data Pertinent to Stereochemical Assignments ofCompounds 4 - 13.<sup>a</sup>

a.  $\delta$  in ppm; J in hertz.

The unsaturated phenyl glycosides 2 and 3 reacted with ethyl nitroacetate in the presence of palladium(0) as the catalyst to give stereospecifically the C-glycoside of  $\alpha$ -configuration, as a mixture of R and S epimers at C-2 (75/25) [-CHNO<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)].

The structures of the C-glycosides were determined mainly on the basis of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (**Table 2**). Having two anomers in hand (for compounds 7 and 11), we observed larger coupling constants  $J_{4',5'}$  for the  $\beta$ -anomer ( $J_{4',5'}$  values of 8.7 and 8.5 Hz,

respectively) than for the  $\alpha$ -anomer (J<sub>4',5'</sub> values of 4.4 and 4.9 -5.2 Hz, respectively). This is consistent with a stable <sup>0</sup>H<sub>5</sub> conformation for the  $\beta$ -anomer and an equilibrating mixture of the <sup>0</sup>H<sub>5</sub> and <sup>5</sup>H<sub>0</sub> conformations for the  $\alpha$ -anomer.

The assignment of configuration at the anomeric center could also be made from <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, mainly the chemical shift of C-5'. The  $\alpha$ -anomer shows a C-5' signal at 74.17 ppm for compound 7 $\alpha$  and two signals at 73.58 and 73.25 ppm for 11 $\alpha$ , at higher field than the  $\beta$ -anomer (respectively at 77.74 and 77.99 and 78.38 ppm). This is due to the  $\gamma$ -gauche effect.<sup>19</sup> It is to be noticed that the C-5' signal for all the  $\alpha$ -anomers is about 71.9-74.5 ppm, and for the  $\beta$ -anomers about 77.3-78.4 ppm. This chemical shift could be used for the determination of the  $\alpha$ - or  $\beta$ -configuration.

However, the most suitable method for the determination of the configuration at C-1' was the use of NOE experiments. For example, irradiation at the C-1' methine proton of  $7\beta$  at  $\delta = 5.02$  ppm at 300 MHz (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), showed an enhancement of 10 % in the C-5' methine proton signal at  $\delta = 3.60$ -3.80 ppm. Similar experiments, made on compound **11** $\beta$  by irradiation of the C-1' methine proton at  $\delta = 5.01$  ppm, showed an enhancement of 11 % for the C-5' methine signal at 3.58-3.75 ppm. A NOE experiment on compound **7** $\alpha$ , using the C-1' and the C-5' signals at 4.32 and 4.37 ppm, showed no enhancement of, respectively, the C-5' and C-1' signals.

In the case of  $11\alpha$ , irradiation of the C-1' methine proton at 4.86 ppm showed no enhancement of the C-5' methine signal at 3.81 ppm. This behaviour was found for all the  $\alpha$ -anomers. In our opinion the NOE experiments allowed the unambiguous assignment of the  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  configuration at the anomeric center.

#### CONCLUSION

In summary, alkylation of unsaturated phenyl glycosides with stabilized nucleophiles in the presence of Pd (0) occurs regio- and stereospecifically at the anomeric center. The observed inversion of configuration is due to a retro Michaël reaction arising under the experimental conditions described. The use of tertiary nucleophiles suppresses this isomerization. The assignments of the  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  configuration at the anomeric center of these unsaturated *C*-glycosides was based upon results from NOE experiments.

# **EXPERIMENTAL**

Thin-layer and column chromatography were carried out on silica gel  $GF_{254}$  (230-400 mesh Merck). Proton and carbon NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker MSL 300

spectrometer with CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent and Me<sub>4</sub>Si as internal standard. Heteronuclear chemical shift correlation (COSY) experiments were carried out using furnished software. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter. Microanalyses were performed by the Laboratoire Central de Microanalyse du CNRS, Vernaison, France. All solvents were distilled from an appropriate drying agent and stored under nitrogen. All airsensitive reactions were performed under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Phenyl 2,3-dideoxy-4,6-di-*O*-benzyl-D-*erythro*-hex-2-enopyranoside 1 $\alpha$  and 1 $\beta$  were prepared according to the literature.<sup>14</sup> Compounds 4 $\alpha$  and 4 $\beta$ , 5 $\alpha$  and 5 $\beta$ , 6 $\alpha$  and 6 $\beta$  have been already described.<sup>14</sup>

General Procedure for Pd(0)-Catalyzed C-Glycosylation. To a solution of 36 mg (0.062 mmol) of  $Pd(dba)_2$  and 0.07 mmol of diphosphine (or 0.14 mmol of triphenylphosphine) in 3 mL of THF or CH<sub>3</sub>CN was added 502 mg (1.25 mmol) of the unsaturated sugar. To the above mixture was added 2.5 mmol of the nucleophile, and the mixture was stirred at the desired temperature until no more starting material was visible on TLC. In entries 14-16, the anions of the nucleophiles were prepared from 2.5 mmol of the nucleophile and 2.7 mmol of NaH in THF (or CH<sub>3</sub>CN). Concentration and column chromatography in the indicated solvents furnished the *C*-glycosides.

Phenyl 2,3-Dideoxy-6-O-t-butyldimethylsilyl-a-D-erythro-hex-2-enopyranoside 15. A solution of 1.37 g (4.47 mmol) of phenyl 2,3-dideoxy-4,6-di-O-acetyl- $\alpha$ -D-erythro-hex-2-enopyranoside 14 in methanol (80 mL) containing 15 mg (0.33 mmol) of CH<sub>3</sub>ONa was stirred at 25 °C for 30 min. The solution was treated with Amberlite IR-120 H<sup>+</sup>, and the methanol evaporated under vacuum. The crude diol obtained was dissolved in DMF (8 mL), and imidazole (0.77 g, 11.3 mmol) and t-BuMe<sub>2</sub>SiCl (0.81 g, 5.43 mmol) were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h and then partitioned between water (70 mL) and chloroform (20 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with additional chloroform (3 x 20 mL), and the combined extracts were dried over sodium sulfate. After solvent evaporation, the crude product was chromatographed on silica gel, eluting with EtOAc/hexane (1/3) (Rf 0.37) to afford the product 15 as an oil. Yield 85 %;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$  + 88.6° (c 2.5, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.08 (s, 6H, SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 0.88 (s, 9H, SiCMe<sub>3</sub>), 3.18 (bs, 1H, OH), 3.78 (dd, 1H,  $J_{6,5} = 3.2$  Hz,  $J_{6,6'} = 9.0$  Hz, H-6), 3.83-3.92 (m, 2H, H-5, H-6'), 4.28 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{4,2} = 1.2$  Hz,  $J_{4,3} = 2.7$  Hz,  $J_{4,5} = 1.2$  Hz,  $J_{4,5} = 1.2$ 7.3 Hz, H-4), 5.62 (bs, 1H, H-1), 5.87 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{3,1} = 2.2$  Hz,  $J_{3,2} = 10.2$  Hz,  $J_{3,4} = 10.2$  Hz, J2.7 Hz, H-3), 6.08 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{2,1} = 1.2$  Hz,  $J_{2,3} = 10.2$  Hz,  $J_{2,4} = 1.2$  Hz, H-2), 7.0-7.3 (m, 5H, Ph);  ${}^{13}C$  NMR  $\delta$  - 5.54 and - 5.47 (SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 18.22 (SiCMe<sub>3</sub>), 25.84 (SiCMe<sub>3</sub>), 65.07 (C-6), 66.49 (C-4), 70.94 (C-5), 92.88 (C-1), 125.06 (C-2), 133.76 (C-3), 116.94, 122.13, 129.43 and 157.42 (Ph).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>28</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> : C, 64.44; H, 8.11. Found: C, 64.35; H, 8.16.

Phenyl 2,3-Dideoxy-4,6-di-O-t-butyldimethylsilyl-α-D-erythro-hex-2-

**enopyranoside 16.** The crude diol obtained using the above mentioned method was dissolved in DMF (8 mL) and imidazole (1.13 g, 19 mmol) and *t*-BuMe<sub>2</sub>SiCl (2.5 g, 16.5 mmol) were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h and after usual work-up, the crude product was chromatographed on silica gel, eluting with EtOAc/hexane (1/3) (Rf 0.83) to afford the product **15** as an oil. Yield 85 %;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 13.0^{\circ}$  (*c* 1.6, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.03 (s, 3H, SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 0.04 (s, 3H, SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 0.11 (s, 3H, SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 0.12 (s, 3H, SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 0.86 (s, 9H, SiCMe<sub>3</sub>), 0.90 (s, 9H, SiCMe<sub>3</sub>), 3.67-3.95 (m, 3H, H-5, H-6, H-6'), 4.32 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>4,2</sub> = 1.2 Hz, J<sub>4,3</sub> = 2.7 Hz, J<sub>4,5</sub> = 8.5 Hz, H-4), 5.63 (dd, 1H, J<sub>1,2</sub> = 1.2 Hz, J<sub>1,3</sub> = 2.0 Hz, H-1), 5.83 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>3,1</sub> = 2.0 Hz, J<sub>3,2</sub> = 10.1 Hz, J<sub>3,4</sub> = 2.7 Hz, H-3), 6.00 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>2,1</sub> = J<sub>2,4</sub> = 1.2 Hz, J<sub>2,3</sub> = 10.1 Hz, H-2), 6.95-7.31 (m, 5H, Ph); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  - 5.38, - 5.12, - 4.90 and - 4.33 (SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 18.61 (SiCMe<sub>3</sub>), 25.72 and 25.91 (SiCMe<sub>3</sub>), 62.10 (C-6), 63.39 (C-4), 73.29 (C-5), 93.05 (C-1), 124.64 (C-2), 135.60 (C-3), 116.96, 121.85, 129.28 and 157.58 (Ph).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>42</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 63.95; H, 9.39. Found: C, 63.60; H, 9.44.

Phenyl 2,3-Dideoxy-4-*O*-benzyl-α-D-*erythro*-hex-2-enopyranoside 18. Compound 15 (1.26 g, 4.78 mmol) was dissolved in DMSO (20 mL) in the presence of KOH (1.07 g, 19.1 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 15 min at 0 °C, and benzyl chloride (1.83 g, 14.5 mmol) was added slowly. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 14 h and then partitioned between water (80 mL) and chloroform (3 x 30 mL). Usual work-up and chromatography on silica gel, eluting with EtOAc/hexane (1/3) (Rf 0.26) afforded the product 18 as an oil. Yield 70 %; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.90 (s, 1H, OH), 3.74 (dd, 1H, J<sub>6,5</sub> = 4.2 Hz, J<sub>6,6</sub>, = 11.9 Hz, H-6), 3.81 (dd, 1H, J<sub>6',5</sub> = 2.6 Hz, J<sub>6',6</sub> = 11.9 Hz, H-6'), 3.98 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>5,4</sub> = 9.6 Hz, J<sub>5,6</sub> = 4.2 Hz, J<sub>5,6'</sub> = 4.2 Hz, H-5), 4.16 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>4,2</sub> = 1.0 Hz, J<sub>4,3</sub> = 2.7 Hz, J<sub>4,5</sub> = 9.6 Hz, H-4), 4.58 and 4.70 (2 x d, 2H, J = 11.6 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.67 (bs, 1H, H-1), 5.91 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>3,1</sub> = 2.0 Hz, J<sub>3,2</sub> = 10.2 Hz, J<sub>3,4</sub> = 2.7 Hz, H-3), 6.22 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>2,1</sub> = 1.0 Hz, J<sub>2,3</sub> = 10.2 Hz, J<sub>2,4</sub> = 1.0 Hz, H-6), 13C NMR δ 61.89 (C-6), 69.71 (C-4), 70.53 (C-5), 71.05 (OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 92.86 (C-1), 125.48 (C-2), 131.36 (C-3), 116.53, 122.11, 127.53, 128.33, 129.38, 137.59 and 156.99 (Ph).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 73.06; H, 6.45. Found: C, 72.91; H, 6.42.

Phenyl 2,3-Dideoxy-4-O-benzyl-6-O-t-butyldimethylsilyl-α-D-erythrohex-2-enopyranoside 2. A solution of 0.5 g (1.6 mmol) of 18, 0.4 g (5.2 mmol) of imidazole and 0.78 g (5.2 mmol) of t-BuMe<sub>2</sub>SiCl in DMF (6 mL) was stirred for 48 h at room temperature. After usual work-up, chromatography on silica gel, eluting with EtOAc/hexane (1/4) (R<sub>f</sub> 0.76), afforded compound 2 as an oil. Yield 80 %;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +38.0 (c 1, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 0.03 (s, 6H, SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 0.85 (s, 9H, SiCMe<sub>3</sub>), 3.81 (dd, 1H,  $J_{6,5} = 4.0$  Hz,  $J_{6,6'} = 11.5$  Hz, H-6), 3.86 (dd, 1H,  $J_{6',5} = 2.3$  Hz,  $J_{6',6} = 11.5$  Hz, H-6'), 3.94 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{5,4} = 9.2$  Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 4.0$  Hz,  $J_{5,6'} = 2.3$  Hz, H-5), 4.19 (dddd, 1H,  $J_{4,1} = J_{4,2} = J_{4,3} = 1.7$  Hz,  $J_{4,5} = 9.2$  Hz, H-4), 4.59 and 5.69 (2 x d, 2H, J = 11.6 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.64 (bs, 1H, H-1), 5.89 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{3,1} = 2.1$  Hz,  $J_{3,2} = 10.1$ Hz,  $J_{3,4} =$ 1.7 Hz, H-3), 6.18 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{2,1} = 2.3$  Hz,  $J_{2,3} = 10.1$  Hz,  $J_{2,4} = 1.7$  Hz, H-2), 7.2-7.4 (m, 10H, Ph); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  - 5.4 and - 5.3 (SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 18.62 (SiCMe<sub>3</sub>), 25.67 (SiCMe<sub>3</sub>), 62.19 (C-6), 69.53 (C-4), 70.96 (OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 71.20 (C-5), 92.85 (C-1), 125.51 (C-2), 131.69 (C-3), 116.74, 121.67, 127.49, 128.15, 129.07, 138.33 and 157.72 (Ph).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>34</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> : C, 70.38; H, 9.03. Found : C, 70.55; H, 8.12.

Phenyl 2,3-Dideoxy-6-*O*-trityl-α-D-*erythro*-hex-2-enopyranoside 17. To the crude diol (2 g, 9.0 mmol) obtained from phenyl 2,3-dideoxy-4,6-di-*O*-acetyl-α-D-*erythro*-hex-2-pyranoside and dissolved in C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>N (60 mL) was added TrCl (5.0g, 18.1 mmol). After stirring at room temperature for 24 h, the mixture was partitioned between water (100 mL) and dichloromethane (200 mL). After usual work-up, the product 17 was obtained by chromatography on silica gel eluting with EtOAc/hexane (1/3) (R<sub>f</sub> 0.31). Yield 80 %.  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  + 51.6° (*c* 1, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 2.22 (bs, 1H, OH), 3.34 (dd, 1H, J<sub>6,5</sub> = 4.7 Hz, J<sub>6,6</sub>, = 9.7 Hz, H-6), 3.42 (dd, 1H, J<sub>6',5</sub> = 5.2 Hz, J<sub>6',6</sub> = 9.7 Hz, H-6'), 3.92 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>5,4</sub> = 9.3 Hz, J<sub>5,6</sub> = 4.7 Hz, J<sub>5,6</sub>, = 5.2 Hz, H-5), 4.21 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>4,3</sub> = 2.0 Hz, J<sub>4,2</sub> = 1.3 Hz, J<sub>4,5</sub> = 9.3 Hz, H-4), 5.67 (bs, 1H, H-1), 5.91 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>3,1</sub> = 2.3 Hz, J<sub>3,2</sub> = 10.0 Hz, J<sub>3,4</sub> = 2.0 Hz, H-3), 6.06 (ddd,1H, J<sub>2,1</sub> = 2.3 Hz, J<sub>2,3</sub> = 10.0 Hz, J<sub>2,4</sub> = 1.3 Hz, H-2), 7.1-7.4 (m, 20H, Ph); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 64.43 (C-6), 65.34 (C-4), 71.05 (C-5), 87.10 (CPh<sub>3</sub>), 92.75 (C-1), 125.43 (C-2), 133.94 (C-3), 115.3-157.3 (Ph).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 80.15; H, 6.08. Found : C, 79.41; H, 6.10.

Phenyl 2,3-Dideoxy-4-*O*-benzyl-6-*O*-trityl-α-D-*erythro*-hex-2-enopyranoside 3. A solution of compound 17 (2.0 g, 4.31 mmol) was dissolved in DMSO (30 mL) in the presence of KOH (1.45 g, 25.8 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 15 min at 0 °C, and benzyl chloride (1.09 g, 8.62 mmol) was added. After stirring for 24 h and usual work-up, column chromatography on silica gel eluting with AcOEt/hexane (1/3) (R<sub>f</sub> 0.31) afforded pure 3. Yield 62 %;  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  + 40.4° (*c* 1, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 3.19 (dd, 1H, J<sub>6,5</sub> = 4.7 Hz, J<sub>6,6</sub> = 10.2 Hz, H-6'), 4.08 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>5,4</sub> = 9.5 Hz, J<sub>5,6</sub> = 4.7 Hz, J<sub>5,6</sub>, = 1.6 Hz, H-5), 4.26 (dddd, 1H, J<sub>4,1</sub> = 1.5 Hz, J<sub>4,2</sub> = J<sub>4,3</sub> = 1.8 Hz, J<sub>4,5</sub> = 9.5 Hz, H-4), 4.49 and 4.34 (2 x d, 2H, J = 11.5 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.75 (bs, 1H, H-1), 5.91 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>3,1</sub> = 2.7 Hz, J<sub>3,2</sub> = 10.2 Hz, J<sub>3,4</sub> = 1.8 Hz, H-3), 6.18 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>2,1</sub> = 1.4 Hz, J<sub>2,3</sub> = 10.2 Hz, J<sub>2,4</sub> = 1.8 Hz, H-2), 7.1-7.3 (m, 25H, Ph); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 61.55 (C-6), 68.58 (C-4), 71.29 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 71.31 (C-5), 86.90 (CPh<sub>3</sub>), 92.7 (C-1), 125.85 (C-2), 131.87 (C-3), 117.2-157.5 (Ph). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 82.28; H, 6.18. Found : C, 82.56; H, 6.03.

Methyl 2-(2',3'-Dideoxy-4',6'-di-O-benzyl-D-*erythro*-hex-2'-enopyranosyl)-2,2-(diacetyl) acetate 7.

α Anomer: oil; yield 64%; R<sub>f</sub> 0.27 (20% AcOEt/hexane);  $[α]_D^{20}$  + 53.5° (*c* 1.0, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 2.30 (3H, s, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.37 (3H, s, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.48 (1H, dd, J<sub>6",6'</sub> = 10.3, J<sub>6",5'</sub> = 7.8, H-6"), 3.61 (1H, m, J<sub>4',5'</sub> = 4.4, H-4'), 3.67 (1H, dd, J<sub>6',6"</sub> = 10.3, J<sub>6',5'</sub> = 5.7, H-6'), 3.75 (1H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.32 (1H, ddd, J<sub>1',4'</sub> = 2.0, J<sub>1',3'</sub> = 1.7, J<sub>1',2'</sub> = 1.1, H-1'), 4.37 (1H, bt, H-5'), 4.53 and 4.51 (2 x 2H, 2 x s, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 6.02 (1H, ddd, J<sub>3',2'</sub> = 10.7, J<sub>3',4'</sub> = 4.0, J<sub>3',1'</sub> = 2.2, H-3'), 6.10 (1H, dd, J<sub>2',3'</sub> = 10.7, J<sub>2',1'</sub> = 1.1, H-2'), 7.20-7.40 (10H, m, Ph); <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 28.98 and 29.49 (COCH<sub>3</sub>), 52.88 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 67.68 (C-6'), 67.91 (C-4'), 69.51 (C-1'), 70.45 (OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 73.13 (OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 74.17 (C-5'), 79.37 (C-2), 125.67 (C-2'), 129.56 (C-3'), 127.57, 127.62, 127.68, 127.80, 128.39, 128.41, 137.69 and 137.99 (Ph), 167.35 (OC=O), 201.61 (C=O).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>7</sub>: C, 69.51; H, 6.48. Found: C, 69.70; H, 6.64.

β Anomer: oil; yield 70%; R<sub>f</sub> 0.30 (20% AcOEt/hexane);  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  + 104.3° (*c* 1.0, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 2.22 (3H, s, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.32 (3H, s, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.60-3.80 (3H, m, H-5', H-6' and H-6''), 3.75 (3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.07 (1H, ddd, J<sub>4',5'</sub> = 8.7, J<sub>4',1'</sub> = 2.8, J<sub>2',4'</sub> = 1.7, H-4'), 4.54 (2H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.49 and 4.46 (2 x 1H, 2 x d, J =11.5, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.02 (1H, ddd, J<sub>1',4'</sub> = 2.8, J<sub>1',3'</sub> = 2.2, J<sub>1',2'</sub> = 1.5, H-1'), 5.95 (1H, ddd, J<sub>2',3'</sub> = 10.6, J<sub>2',4'</sub> = 1.7, J<sub>2',1'</sub> = 1.5, H-2'), 6.04 (1H, ddd, J<sub>3',2'</sub> = 10.6, J<sub>3',1'</sub> = 2.2, J<sub>3',4'</sub> = 1.7, H-3'), 7.2-7.4 (10H, m, Ph); <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 29.04 and 29.15 (COCH<sub>3</sub>), 52.94 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 69.30 (C-6'), 69.55 (C-4'), 71.31 (OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 73.08 (OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 74.77 (C-1'), 77.74 (C-5'), 79.91 (C-2), 127.64 (C-2'), 129.15 (C-3'), 127.28, 127.50, 127.84, 127.89, 128.35, 128.44, 137.74 and 138.30 (Ph), 167.51 (OC=O), 200.12 and 201.73 (C=O).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>7</sub>: C, 69.51; H, 6.48. Found: C, 69.76; H, 6.51.

Ethyl 2-(2',3'-Dideoxy-4',6'-di-O-benzyl-D-erythro-hex-2'-enopyra-nosyl)-2-<math>(R,S)-nitro-2-(R,S)-allyl acetate 11.

α Anomer: oil; yield 90%; R<sub>f</sub> 0.5 (30% AcOEt/hexane);  $[α]_D^{20} + 25.8^\circ$  (c 1.9, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.24 and 1.27 (2 x 2 s, 0.37 x 3H and 0.63 x 3H, J = 7.2 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.98 (dd, 0.37 H, J = 11.5 Hz, J = 7.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH=), 2.98 (dd, 0.63 H, J = 13.7 Hz, J = 7.6 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH=), 3.26 (dd, 0.37 H, J = 11.5 Hz, J = 6.9 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH=), 3.38 (dd, 0.63 H, J = 13.7Hz, J = 7.2 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH=), 3.57 (dd, 1H, J<sub>6",6'</sub> = 10.5 Hz, J<sub>6",5'</sub> = 4.9 Hz, H-6''), 3.64 (dd, 1H, J<sub>6',6''</sub> = 10.5 Hz, J<sub>6',5'</sub> = 5.2 Hz, H-6'), 3.81 (ddd, 0.63 H, ddd, J<sub>5',4'</sub> = J<sub>5',6'</sub> = J<sub>5',6''</sub> = 5.2 Hz, H-5'), 3.89 (m, 1H, H-4'), 4.01 (ddd, 0.37 H, J<sub>5',4'</sub> = J<sub>5',6'</sub> = J<sub>5',6''</sub> = 4.9 Hz, H-5'), 4.22 (m) and 4.25 (M) (2 x q, 0.37 x)

2H and 0.63 x 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.45 and 4.53 (2 x d, 2H, J = 11.8 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.51 and 4.57 (2 x d, 2H, J = 12.1 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.86 (ddd, 0.63 H, J<sub>1',4'</sub> = J<sub>1',3'</sub> = J<sub>1',2'</sub> = 2.1 Hz, H-1'), 4.98 (ddd, 0.37H, J<sub>1',4'</sub> = J<sub>1',3'</sub> = J<sub>1',2'</sub> = 2.1 Hz, H-1'), 5.10-5.25 (m, 2H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.65-5.85 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.08 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>3',2'</sub> = 10.6 Hz, J<sub>3',4'</sub> = 4.8 Hz, J<sub>3',1'</sub> = 2.2 Hz, H-3'), 6.17 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>2',3'</sub> = 10.6 Hz, J<sub>2',4'</sub> = 3.2 Hz, J<sub>2',1'</sub> = 2.2 Hz, H-2'), 7.20-7.40 (m, 10H, Ph); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  13.81 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 36.90 and 37.24 (0.37 and 0.63 CH<sub>2</sub>-CH=), 62.72 and 62.78 (0.63 and 0.37 CH<sub>2</sub>), 68.58 (C-6'), 68.70 (C-4'), 70.21 and 70.63 (0.63 and 0.37 OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 71.26 (C-1') 73.15 (OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 73.25 and 73.58 (0.37 and 0.63 C-5'), 92.00 (C quat.), 121.14 and 121.25 (0.37 and 0.63 CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 126.37 and 126.78 (0.63 and 0.37 C-2'), 129.86 and 130.22 (0.63 and 0.37 C-3'), 127.66, 127.79, 128.34, 128.41, 128.76, 129.86, 130.22, 137.92 and 138.06 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub> and Ph), 164.5 and 165.7 (C=O).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{27}H_{31}NO_7$ : C, 67.34; H, 6.49; N, 2.90. Found: C, 66.90; H, 6.23: N, 2.75.

β Anomer: oil; yield 98%; R<sub>f</sub> 0.44 (25% AcOEt/hexane);  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  + 75.0° (c 0.9, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.24 and 1.27 (2 x s, 0.37 x 3H and 0.63 x 3H, J = 7.1 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.90 (dd, 0.63 H, J = 14.6 Hz, J = 7.3 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH=), 2.99 (dd, 0.37 H, J = 13.7 Hz, J = 6.7 Hz,  $CH_2$ -CH=), 3.14 (dd, 0.63 H, J = 14.6 Hz, J = 7.3 Hz,  $CH_2$ -CH=), 3.28 (dd, 0.37 H, J = 13.7 Hz, J = 6.7 Hz,  $CH_2$ -CH=), 3.58-3.75 (m, 3H, H-5', H-6', H-6"), 3.92 and 4.04 (2 x dd, 0.37 H and 0.63 H,  $J_{4',1'} = 2.9$  Hz,  $J_{4',5'} = 8.5$  Hz, H-4'), 4.23 and 4.27 (2 x q, 0.63 x 2H and 0.37 x 2H, J = 7.1 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.42 and 4.52 (2d, 2H, J = 12.0 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.50 and 4.53 (2d, 2H, J = 12.5 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.53 and 4.55 (2d, 2H, J = 11.2 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.61 and 4.63 (2d, 2H, J = 11.5 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.82 and 5.01 (2 x d, 0.37 H and 0.63 H,  $J_{1'4'} = 2.9$  Hz, H-1'), 5.10-5.25 (m, 2H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.65-5.85 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.06 and 6.08 (2 x s, 0.37 x 2H and 0.63 x 2H, H-2', H-3'), 7.20-7.40 (m, 10H, Ph); <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 13.84 and 14.23 (0.63 and 0.37 CH<sub>3</sub>), 35.91 and 36.72 (0.63 and 0.37 CH<sub>2</sub>-CH=), 62.68 and 62.72 (0.63 and 0.37 CH<sub>2</sub>), 69.12 and 69.50 (0.63 and 0.37 C-6'), 69.72 and 69.82 (0.63 and 0.37 C-4'), 71.35 and 71.45 (0.37 and 0.63 OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 73.35 (OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 74.64 and 75.77 (0.37 and 0.63 C-1'), 77.99 and 78.38 (0.63 and 0.37 C-5'), 96.56 and 96.78 (0.63 and 0.37 C quat.), 120.75 and 121.40 (0.63 and 0.37 CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 126.04 and 126.18 (0.63 and 0.37 C-2'), 130.05 and 130.51 (0.37 and 0.63 C-3'), 127.6, 127.8, 128.3, 128.4, 128.8, 129.8,130.2, 137.8 and 138.4 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub> and Ph), 164.51 (C=O).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{27}H_{31}NO_7$ : C, 67.34; H, 6.49; N, 2.90. Found: C, 67.26; H, 6.26: N, 3.00.

**Procedure for Pd(0)-Catalyzed Alkylation of Compound 6**. To a solution of 20.7 mg (0.0226 mmol) of Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub> and 19.3 mg (0.045 mmol) of 1,4-bis(diphenyl-

phosphino)butane in 5 mL of THF at 25 °C was added 200 mg (0.453 mmol) of compound 6. To the above mixture was added 128.7 mg (0.906 mmol) of diallyl carbonate in 2 mL of THF and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 h. Concentration and column chromatography furnished the allylated glycosides 11, only as the  $\beta$  anomer starting from 6 $\beta$ , and as a mixture of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  anomers in a ratio 60/40 starting from 6 $\alpha$ . 11  $\alpha$  was obtained by alkylation of the phenyl glycoside using the usual procedure.

Ethyl 2-(2',3'-Dideoxy-4'-O-benzyl-6'-O-t-butyldimethylsilyl-α-Derythro-hex-2'-enopyranosyl)-2(R,S)-nitroacetate 12. Oil; yield 78 %; R<sub>f</sub> 0.57 (20 % AcOEt-hexane);  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$  + 33.9° (c 1, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.06 (s, 6H, SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.29 and 1.31 (2 t, 0.75 x 3H and 0.25 x 3H, J = 7.2 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.90 (s, 9H, CMe<sub>3</sub>), 3.61 (m, 1H, H-5'), 3.74 (dd, 0.25 H,  $J_{6',5'} = 3.0$  Hz,  $J_{6',6''} = 11.6$  Hz, H-6'), 3.76 (dd, 0.75 H,  $J_{6',5'} = 3.0$  Hz,  $J_{6',6''} = 11.6$  Hz, H-6'), 3.76 (dd, 0.75 H,  $J_{6',5'}$ = 2.6 Hz,  $J_{6',6''}$  = 11.1 Hz, H-6'), 3.82 (dd, 0.75 H,  $J_{6'',5'}$  = 4.8 Hz,  $J_{6'',6'}$  = 11.1 Hz, H-6"), 3.85 (dd, 0.25 Hz,  $J_{6",5'} = 4.3$  Hz,  $J_{6",6'} = 11.6$  Hz, H-6"), 4.09 (dddd, 1H,  $J_{4',1'}$  $= 2.1 \text{ Hz}, J_{4',2'} = 1.7 \text{ Hz}, J_{4',3'} = 2.1 \text{ Hz}, J_{4',5'} = 7.7 \text{ Hz}, H-4'$ , 4.28 and 4.29 (2 x q, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.57 and 4.64 (2 x d, 0.25 x 2H, J = 11.6 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.59 and 4.65  $(2 \text{ x d}, 0.75 \text{ x } 2\text{H}, \text{J} = 11.6 \text{ Hz}, \text{OCH}_2\text{Ph}), 4.97-5.03 \text{ (m, 1H, H-1')}, 5.27 \text{ (d, } 0.25 \text{ H}, \text{C})$  $J_{2,1'} = 8.1$  Hz, H-2), 5.33 (d, 0.75 H,  $J_{2,1'} = 10.3$  Hz, H-2), 5.88 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{2',1'} = 2.1$ Hz,  $J_{2',3'} = 10.8$  Hz,  $J_{2',4'} = 1.7$  Hz, H-2'), 6.14 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{3',1'} = 2.1$  Hz,  $J_{3',2'} = 10.8$ Hz,  $J_{3',4'} = 2.1$  Hz, H-3'), 7.3-7.4 (m, 5H, Ph); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  - 5.48 and - 5.36 (SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 13.76 (-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.31 (SiCMe<sub>3</sub>), 25.86 (SiCMe<sub>3</sub>), 62.24 (C-6'), 63.22 (CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 68.50 and 68.99 (0.75 and 0.25 C-4'), 70.03 and 70.85 (0.75 and 0.25 C-1'), 71.13 and 71.52 (0.25 and 0.75 OCH2Ph), 73.48 and 74.49 (0.75 and 0.25 C-5'), 88.4 (C-2), 124.26 and 124.87 (0.75 and 0.25 C-2'), 130.15 and 130.98 (0.25 and 0.75 C-3'), 127.81, 128.43 and 137.80 (Ph), 162.02 (C=O).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>35</sub>SiNO<sub>7</sub> : C, 59.33; H, 7.58; N, 3.01. Found : C, 59.62; H, 7.57; N, 2.79.

Ethyl 2-(2',3'-Dideoxy-4'-O-benzyl-6'-O-trityl- $\alpha$ -D-erythro-hex-2'enopyranosyl)-2(*R*,*S*)-nitroacetate 13. Oil; yield 87 %;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  + 33.9° (*c* 1, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.19 and 1.28 (2 x t, 0.25 x 3H and 0.75 x 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.18 (dd, 0.25 H, J<sub>6',5'</sub> = 4.2 Hz, J<sub>6',6''</sub> = 10.2 Hz, H-6'); 3.23 (dd, 0.75 H, J<sub>6',5'</sub> = 4.7 Hz, J<sub>6',6''</sub> = 10.2 Hz, H-6'), 3.35 (dd, 0.25 H, J<sub>6'',5'</sub> = 2.5 Hz, J<sub>6'',6'</sub> = 10.2 Hz, H-6''), 3.39 (dd, 0.75 H, J<sub>6'',5'</sub> = 2.2 Hz, J<sub>6'',6'</sub> = 10.2 Hz, H-6''), 3.73 (m, 1H, J<sub>5',4'</sub> = 8.2 Hz, H-5'), 4.12 (dddd, 1H, J<sub>4',1'</sub> = 2.3 Hz, J<sub>4',2'</sub> = 1.3 Hz, J<sub>4',3'</sub> = 1.3 Hz, J<sub>4',5'</sub> = 8.2 Hz, H-4'), 4.28 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.33 and 4.35 (2 x d, 0.75 H and 0.25 H, J = 11.3 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.48 and 4.50 (2 x d, 0.75 H and 0.25 H, J = 11.3 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.07 (dddd, 0.25 H, J<sub>1',2'</sub> = 2.5 Hz, J<sub>1',3'</sub> = 2.1 Hz, J<sub>1',4'</sub> = 2.3 Hz, J<sub>1',2</sub> = 8.7 Hz, H-1'), 5.12 (dddd, 0.75 Hz,  $J_{1',2'} = 2.5$  Hz,  $J_{1',3'} = 2.1$  Hz,  $J_{1',4'} = 2.3$  Hz,  $J_{1',2} = 10.1$  Hz, H-1), 5.28 (d, 0.25 H,  $J_{2,1'} = 8.7$  Hz, H-2), 5.38 (d, 0.75 H,  $J_{2,1'} = 10.1$  Hz, H-2), 5.89 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{2',1'} = 2.5$  Hz,  $J_{2',3'} = 10.5$  Hz,  $J_{2',4'} = 1.9$  Hz, H-2'), 6.13 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{3',1'} = 2.1$ Hz,  $J_{3',2'} = 10.5$  Hz,  $J_{3',4'} = 1.9$  Hz, H-3'), 7.1-7.4 (m, 20H, Ph); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  13.83 (C-1), 62.64 and 62.79 (0.25 and 0.75 C-6'), 63.22 and 63.31 (0.25 and 0.75 CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 69.27 and 69.61 (0.25 and 0.75 C-4'), 70.32 and 71.14 (0.25 and 0.75 C-1'), 71.38 and 71.74 (0.25 and 0.75 OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 72.63 and 73.55 (0.75 and 0.25 C-5'), 86.51 (CPh<sub>3</sub>), 88.35 and 88.46 (0.25 and 0.75 C-2), 124.18 and 124.85 (0.75 and 0.25 C-2'), 130.69 and 131.47 (0.25 and 0.75 C-3'), 127.03, 127.10, 127.86, 127.92, 128.04, 128.19, 128.46, 128.63, 128.82, 129.04, 129.67, 137.56 and 143.86 (Ph), 162.14 and 162.36 (0.75 and 0.25 C=O).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>35</sub>NO<sub>7</sub> : C, 72.83; H, 5.94; N, 2.36. Found : C, 72.90; H, 6.00; N, 2.16.

Ethyl 2-(2',3'-Dideoxy-4',6'-di-*O*-benzyl-α-D-*erythro*-hex-2'-enopyranosyl)-2-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2-methyl acetate 8. Oil; yield 88 %; Rf 0.5 (25 % AcOEt/hexane);  $[α]_D^{20}$  + 24.9° (*c* 1, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.21 and 1.22 (2 x t, 2 x 3H, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.48 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.58 (dd, 1H, J<sub>6',5'</sub> = 5.1 Hz, J<sub>6',6"</sub> = 10.2 Hz, H-6'), 3.63 (dd, 1H, J<sub>6",5'</sub> = 5.2 Hz, J<sub>6",6'</sub> = 10.2 Hz, H-6''), 3.87 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>4',1'</sub> = 2.0 Hz, J<sub>4',2'</sub> = 0 Hz, J<sub>4',3'</sub> = 2.0 Hz, J<sub>4',5'</sub> = 4.3 Hz, H-4'), 4.05 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>5',4'</sub> = 4.3 Hz, J<sub>5',6'</sub> = 5.1 Hz, J<sub>5',6''</sub> = 5.2 Hz, H-5'), 4.17 (q, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.19 (q, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.49 and 4.57 (2 x d, 2H, J = 11.9 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.85 (bs, 1H, H-1'), 6.02 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>3',1'</sub> = 1.8 Hz, J<sub>3',2'</sub> = 10.7 Hz, J<sub>3',4'</sub> = 2.0 Hz, H-3'), 6.07 (d, 1H, J<sub>2',3'</sub> = 10.7 Hz, H-2'), 7.17-7.55 (m, 10H, Ph); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 14.05 and 14.09 (CH<sub>3</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>), 16.33 (CH<sub>3</sub>-), 57.81 (C quat.), 61.47 and 61.57 (CH<sub>3</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 68.87 (C-6'), 68.90 (C-4'), 70.48 and 73.31 (OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 72.36 (C-1'), 73.65 (C-5'), 126.60 (C-2'), 129.04 (C-3'), 127.70, 127.76, 127.90, 128.43, 129.62, 132.50, 138.19 and 138.41 (Ph), 170.14 and 170.63 (OC=O).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>7</sub>: C, 69.69; H, 7.10. Found : C, 69.70; H, 7.13.

Ethyl 2-(2',3'-Dideoxy-4',6'-di-O-benzyl- $\alpha$ -D-erythro-hex-2'-enopyranosyl)-2-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2-allyl acetate 9. Oil; yield 95 %; Rf 0.54 (25 % AcOEt/hexane);  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ + 28.9° (c 1, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.20 and 1.22 (2 x t, 2 x 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.72 (dd, 1H, J = 14.1 Hz, J = 7.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH=), 3.04 (dd, 1H, J = 14.1 Hz, J = 7.4 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH=), 3.58 (dd, 1H, J<sub>6',5'</sub> = 4.4 Hz, J<sub>6',6''</sub> = 10.4 Hz, H-6'), 3.63 (dd, 1H, J<sub>6'',5'</sub> = 5.9 Hz, J<sub>6'',6'</sub> = 10.4 Hz, H-6''), 3.87 (m, 1H, H-4'), 3.93 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>5',4'</sub> = 4.2 Hz, J<sub>5',6'</sub> = 4.4 Hz, J<sub>5',6''</sub> = 5.9 Hz, H-5'), 4.12 and 4.18 (2 x q, 2 x 2 H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.48 and 4.56 (2 x d, 2 x 1H, J = 11.8 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.50 and 4.56 (2 x d, 2 x 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.72 (bs, 1H, H-1'), 5.05 (d, 1H, J = 10.1 Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.11 (d, 1H, J = 16.9 Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.74-5.88 (m, 1H, -CH=), 5.98 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{2',1'} = 2.1$  Hz,  $J_{2',3'} = 10.7$  Hz,  $J_{2',4'} = 2.6$  Hz, H-2'), 6.22 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{3',1'} = 2.0$  Hz,  $J_{3',2'} = 10.7$  Hz,  $J_{3',4'} = 2.0$  Hz, H-3'), 7.18-7.33 (m, 10H, Ph); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  13.96 and 13.99 (2 x CH<sub>3</sub>), 35.99 (CH<sub>2</sub>-CH=), 61.14 and 61.20 (2 x OCH<sub>2</sub>), 61.53 (C quat.), 68.78 (C-6'), 69.02 (C-4'), 70.14 and 73.13 (OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 71.22 (C-1'), 73.18 (C-5'), 118.92 (CH<sub>2</sub>=), 125.49 (C-2'), 129.69 (C-3'), 127.47, 127.50, 127.61, 128.23, 128.26, 128.30, 133.01, 138.12 and 138.41 (-CH=CH<sub>2</sub> and Ph), 169.57 and 169.38 (OC=O).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O<sub>7</sub>: C, 70.84; H, 7.12. Found : C, 71.02; H, 7.28.

Methyl 2-(2',3'-Dideoxy-4',6'-di-O-benzyl-a-D-erythro-hex-2'-enopyranosyl)-2-(methoxycarbonyl)-2-propargyl acetate 10. Oil; yield 92 %; Rf 0.32 (20 % AcOEt/hexane);  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$  + 21.8° (c 1.4, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.98 (dd, 1H, J = 2.5 Hz, J = 2.6 Hz, C=CH), 2.87 (dd, 1H, J = 2.5 Hz, J = 17.1 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-C=C), 3.21 (dd, 1H, J = 2.6 Hz, J = 17.1 Hz,  $CH_2$ -C=C), 3.56 (dd, 1H,  $J_{6',5'}$  = 4.9 Hz,  $J_{6',6''} = 10.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-6'}$ , 3.61 (dd, 1H,  $J_{6'',5'} = 5.5 \text{ Hz}, J_{6'',6'} = 10.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-6''}$ ), 3.68 and 3.71 (2 x s, 2 x 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-), 3.85 (m, 1H, H-4'), 3.94 (ddd, 1H, J<sub>5',4'</sub> = 4.9 Hz, J<sub>5',6'</sub> = 5.5 Hz,  $J_{5'6''} = 4.9$  Hz, H-5'), 4.50 and 4.52 (2 x d, 2 x 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.49 and 4.56 (2 x d, 2 x 1H, J = 11.7 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.89 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{1',2'}$  = 2.5 Hz,  $J_{1',3'} = 1.3 \text{ Hz}, J_{1',4'} = 2.1 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-1'}, 5.99 \text{ (ddd, 1H, } J_{2',1'} = 2.5 \text{ Hz}, J_{2',3'} = 10.6 \text{ Hz},$  $J_{2',4'} = 3.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-2'}, 6.30 \text{ (ddd, 1H, } J_{3',1'} = 1.3 \text{ Hz}, J_{3',2'} = 10.6 \text{ Hz}, J_{3',4'} = 1.3 \text{ Hz},$ H-3'), 7.22-7.32 (m, 10H, Ph); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 21.48 (-CH<sub>2</sub>-C≡), 52.54 and 52.81 (2 x CH<sub>3</sub>), 61.02 (C quat.), 68.80 (C-6'), 68.90 (C-4'), 70.18 and 73.22 (OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 70.96 (C-1'), 71.43 (≡CH), 73.65 (C-5'), 79.63 (-C≡CH), 125.84 (C-2'), 129.37 (C-3'), 127.46, 127.59, 127.63, 127.77, 128.35, 138.20 and 138.40 (Ph), 168.91 and 168.99 (OC=O).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>7</sub>: C, 70.27; H, 6.32. Found : C, 70.21; H, 6.20

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was financially supported by the "Région Rhône-Alpes".

## REFERENCES

- 1. M. Brakta, P. Lhoste, D. Sinou and J. Banoub, Carbohydr. Res., 203, 148 (1990).
- 2. S. Hanessian and A. G. Pernet, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem., 33, 111 (1976).

- 3. S. Hanessian, Total Synthesis of Natural Products : The Chiron Approach; Pergamon, Oxford, 1983.
- 4. T. D. Inch, Tetrahedron, 40, 3161 (1984).
- 5. U. Hacksell and G. D. Daves Jr., Prog. Med. Chem., 22, 1 (1985).
- 6. J. G. Buchanan, Prog. Chem. Org. Natl. Prod., 44, 243 (1983).
- 7. G. D. Daves Jr., Acc. Chem. Res., 23, 201 (1990).
- 8. F. Freeman and K. D. Robarge, *Carbohydr. Res.*, **171**, 1 (1987); all following articles in *Carbohydr. Res.*, **171**, 1987, are also dedicated to *C*-glycoside formation.
- a) G. L.Trainer and B. E. Smart, J. Org. Chem., 48, 2447 (1983);
  b) P. DeShong, G. A. Slough and V. Elango, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107, 778 (1985);
  c) P. DeShong, G. A. Slough and V. Elango, Carbohydr. Res., 171, 343 (1987).
- a) S. Czernecki and V. B. Dechavanne, *Can. J. Chem.*, **61**, 533 (1983); b) H. G. Pandraud, R. Brahmni, V. B. Dechavanne and S. Czernecki, *Can. J. Chem.*, **63**, 491 (1985).
- 11. S. Yougai and T. Miwa, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 68 (1983).
- a) L. V. Dunkerton and A. J. Serino, J. Org. Chem., 47, 2812 (1982); b) L. V. Dunkerton, J. M. Enske and A. J. Serino, Carbohydr. Res., 171, 89 (1987).
- 13. T. V. RajanBabu, J. Org. Chem., 50, 3642 (1985).
- 14. M. Brakta, P. Lhoste and D. Sinou, J. Org. Chem., 54, 1890 (1989).
- J. E. Bäckvall, R. E. Nordberg, K. Zetterberg and B. Akermark, Organometallics, 2, 1625 (1983).
- 16. A. G. Pernet, T. Ogawa and S. Hanessian, Tetrahedron Lett., 3547 (1973).
- 17. P. Allevi, M. Anastasia, P. Ciuffreda, A. Fiecchi and A. Scala, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1275 (1989).
- 18. G. J. Engelbrecht and C. W. Holzapfel, Tetrahedron Lett., 2161 (1991).
- 19. H. O. Kalinowski, S. Berger and S. Braun, *Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy*; John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1988.
- 20. M. S. Shekhani and K. M. Khan, Tetrahedron Lett., 29, 6161 (1988).